1.0 Percent Participation Justification Form 2018–19

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) requires each district and charter school to complete and submit a justification when it anticipates exceeding 1.0 percent of students assessed in a subject area (i.e., English Language Arts/Reading, Mathematics, and/or Science) with the NCEXTEND1 alternate assessment. Justifications from each district and charter school will be reviewed by the North Carolina Department of Public Instruction (NCDPI), and follow up actions will be determined based on the information found in the justification document. Staff from the Exceptional Children and Accountability Divisions in each district and charter school should collaborate to provide the following information on the justification document. Responses to Sections 1–4 and the designated signatures are required; it is optional to include additional information (see page 5). This justification document will be publicly posted. As such, the document must not contain any personally identifiable information. If necessary, additional pages may be attached to this form.

Section 1: Contact Information

Enter contact information for the primary district/charter school staff member responsible for overseeing the completion of the justification form.

3-Digit LEA/Charter Code: 590	
Contact Name: Greg Hughes	
Contact Phone No.: 828-652-4535, ext. 110	177
District/Charter Name: McDowell	
Contact Title: Director of Research and Accountability	
Contact E-Mail: greg.hughes@mcdowell.k12.nc.us	

Section 2: Analyzing Contributing Factors

Did the Individualized Education Program (IEP) teams utilize the alternate assessment eligibility criteria and
the North Carolina Alternate Assessment Decision Making Flow Chart to make alternate assessment
participation decisions?

Indicate how all members of the IEP teams have been informed or trained on the alternate assessment eligibility criteria and the North Carolina Alternate Assessment Decision Making Flow Chart. Check all that apply.

Training Method	School Administration	Special Education Staff	Parents	Related Service Staff
Face-to-face training		\boxtimes		
Online training				
Given copy of guidance documents				
No training provided			\bowtie	
Other, please explain below				

1.0 Percent Participation Justification Form 2018–19

Other, please explain below:		
		ve used for several years, but are now going to include Making Flow Chart in all future trainings and IEP
traditionally participate (i.e.,	Speech and Language	to participate in the alternate assessment that do not Impairment, Specific Learning Disability, etc.)? If yes, dents meet the criteria for participation in the alternate
	□ Yes	⊠ No
Explain below:		
significantly impact their ada individualized instruction and materials and individualized be instructed using the North placing a student on the NCI planning worksheet to ensure our students. Items on the place review of programmatic issue content placement, the place the IEP team. Parents are coplacing students on the NCE	ptive behaviors. The state of support to make mean methods of accessing in Carolina Extended Content anning form include povices, state assessment must be approved and presented and presented and additional year to plan ad	very best instruction. The student's disability must udent must require extensive and repeated ningful gains and require substantially adapted information in alternative ways. These students must ontent Standards in all assessed content areas. Prior to sessment, MCS requires schools to complete a standards provide the least restrictive environment for est-secondary goals, high school diploma pathway, and ents, and areas of concern, current assessments, and areas and a support analysis. To proceed with extended and by the EC director and carefully addressed through I with and given a statement of understanding prior to proportionate in 8th grade (3.6%) due to holding prevent them from attending high school for more than attends each school level.
Does the district or charter so students with significant cog		l program that may contribute to a higher enrollment of
		⊠ No
Explain below:	☐ Yes	⊠ 100
Dapiani ociow.		

Our program doesn't contribute to higher enrollment of students with significant cognitive disabilities, but

specially designed instruction for intellectually disabled students is provided.

1.0 Percent Participation Justification Form 2018–19

			1.1 111 111 - 1 - 6
		I student population that inc	reased the likelihood of
exceeding the 1.0 percent	threshold?		
		⊠ No	
D 1: 1 1	□ Yes	⊠ No	
Explain below:			
We do not have a small s	tudent nonulation that incre	ases the liklihood of exceedi	ing the 1% threshold
(6300 students, + or -)	ludent population that more	ases the likilihood of exceeding	ing the 170 threshold.
(ooo stadente, e.)			
Section 3: Assurances			
section 5. Assurances			
Door the district or shorts	r school have a process in n	lace to monitor alternate asso	essment participation?
Does the district of charte	i school have a process in p	face to moment afternate assi	essment participation:
	⊠ Yes	□ No	
Explain below:	Z 103		
Explain oclow.			
Students with significant of	cognitive disabilities are ider	ntified as eligible for alternati	ve assessments based
		hat include intellectual, adap	
educational assessments	as well as health screening	s, related service screening	s, interventions,
observations and a review	v of existing data. To be elig	ible for alternative testing, a	student must be found
eligible within one or more	of the existing categories	of disability under the IDEA ((e.g., autism, multiple
disabilities, traumatic brai	n injury, etc.) The student's	cognitive impairments preve	ent them from attaining
		ry best instruction. The stude	
significantly impact their a	aptive penaviors. The stud	dent must require extensive ngful gains and require subs	and repeated tantially adapted
		formation in alternative ways	
		t Standards in all assessed	
placing a student on the N	ICEXTEND1 alternate asse	ssment, MCS requires scho	ols to complete a
planning worksheet to ens	sure the extended content s	tandards provide the least re	estrictive environment for
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		t-secondary goals, high sch	
		oncern, current assessment	
		ntent placement, the placem	
the EC director and carefu	ally addressed through the I	EP team. Parents are contact	cted and given a
statement of understanding	ng prior to placing students	JII UIE NOCATENDI.	
D 41	macha al havra a muagaga in mi	age to identify and address a	lienronartianality in
		ace to identify and address of grace, gender, or socioecond	
alternate assessment partic	ipation (specifically, among	g race, gender, or socioecone	mile status groups):
	⊠ Yes	□ No	
Evnloin halow	KA 1C2	□ 1 10	
Explain below:			
In McDowell County Scho	ols, disproportionality of stu	dents with disabilities is defi	ned as an
overrepresentation of 4%	or more than the typically d	eveloping student population	n. McDowell County
		nately 89% of students teste	

1.0 Percent Participation Justification Form 2018–19

are white and therefore not considered disproportionate. Other ethnic groups consisted of 4 students making up 10% of the students participating in the NCEXTEND1. The students testing in McDowell County are made up of 47% female and 53% male. Of the students taking NCEXTEND1, 25% are female and 75% are male. This is considered disproportionate. Of all students with autism tested, 30% are female and 70% are male, which closely matches the national statistics that show 4 out of 5 students identified with autism are male. Disproportionality is addressed through a review of records every 1-3 years to determine the appropriateness of the placement based on the afore mentioned criteria. When making placement decisions, MCS ensures that a psychologist or a program specialist is in attendance to present an unbiased view of the data. Psychologists are careful to consider all appropriate areas of eligibility. Internal audits are performed biannually and student identification is reviewed.

Section 4: Resources and Technical Assistance

What resources and technical assistance does the district or charter school need from the North Carolina Department of Public Instruction to ensure that students are being assessed using the appropriate assessment?

We look at a lot of things when placing students in the Extend 1 test. If we need something to enhance student learning, we purchase it. Our biggest needs are on the personnel side, where we could desparately use another psychologist. Additionally, we could use effective training for school administrators to help clarify the criteria for students that need the Extended Content Standards so that appropriate placements can be supported.

Signatures

Superintendent/Charter School Director
Exceptional Children Director/Coordinator
LEA/Charter School Test Coordinator

LEA/Charter School Test Coordinator

The completed justification form must be signed by the superintendent/charter school director, exceptional children's director/coordinator, and LEA/charter school testing coordinator. The form must be scanned and emailed to alternateassessment@dpi.nc.gov by May 3, 2019.

The NCDPI will notify districts/charter schools in writing if further information is needed and will include next steps. For questions, please contact your Exceptional Children Director or Regional Accountability Coordinator.

Note: See page 5 for additional information that can be included but is not required.